

# On Affine Motions and Bar Frameworks in General Position

A. Y. Alfakih <sup>\*†</sup>

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of Windsor  
Windsor, Ontario N9B 3P4  
Canada

Yinyu Ye <sup>‡§</sup>

Department of Management Science and Engineering  
Stanford University  
Stanford, California 94305  
USA

May 4, 2011

**AMS classification:** 52C25, 05C62.

**Keywords:** Bar frameworks, universal rigidity, stress matrices, points in general position, Gale transform.

## Abstract

A configuration  $p$  in  $r$ -dimensional Euclidean space is a finite collection of points  $(p^1, \dots, p^n)$  that affinely span  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . A bar framework, denoted by  $G(p)$ , in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is a simple graph  $G$  on  $n$  vertices together with a configuration  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . A given bar framework  $G(p)$  is said to be universally rigid if there does not exist another configuration  $q$  in any Euclidean space, not obtained from  $p$  by a rigid motion, such that  $\|q^i - q^j\| = \|p^i - p^j\|$  for each edge  $(i, j)$  of  $G$ .

It is known [2, 6] that if configuration  $p$  is generic and bar framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  admits a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ , then  $G(p)$  is universally rigid. Connelly asked [8] whether the same result holds true if the genericity assumption of  $p$  is replaced by the weaker assumption of general position. We answer this question in the affirmative in this paper.

---

\*E-mail: alfakih@uwindsor.ca

†Research supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

‡E-mail: yinyu-ye@stanford.edu

§Research supported in part by NSF Grant GOALI 0800151 and DOE Grant DE-SC0002009.

# 1 Introduction

A *configuration*  $p$  in  $r$ -dimensional Euclidean space is a finite collection of points  $(p^1, \dots, p^n)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  that affinely span  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . A *bar framework* (or framework for short) in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , denoted by  $G(p)$ , is a configuration  $p$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  together with a simple graph  $G$  on the vertices  $1, 2, \dots, n$ . For a simple graph  $G$ , we denote its node set by  $V(G)$  and its edge set by  $E(G)$ . To avoid trivialities, we assume throughout this paper that graph  $G$  is connected and not complete.

Framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is said to be *congruent* to framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  if configuration  $q$  is obtained from configuration  $p$  by a rigid motion. That is, if  $\|q^i - q^j\| = \|p^i - p^j\|$  for all  $i, j = 1, \dots, n$ , where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. We say that framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  is *equivalent* to framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  if  $\|q^i - q^j\| = \|p^i - p^j\|$  for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$ . Furthermore, we say that framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is *affinely-equivalent* to framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  if  $G(q)$  is equivalent to  $G(p)$  and configuration  $q$  is obtained from configuration  $p$  by an affine motion; i.e.,  $q^i = Ap^i + b$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , for some  $r \times r$  matrix  $A$  and an  $r$ -vector  $b$ .

A framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is said to be *universally rigid* if there does not exist a framework  $G(q)$  in any Euclidean space that is equivalent, but not congruent, to  $G(p)$ . The notion of a stress matrix  $S$  of a framework  $G(p)$  plays a key role in the problem of universal rigidity of  $G(p)$ .

## 1.1 Stress Matrices and Universal Rigidity

Let  $G(p)$  be a framework on  $n$  vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . An *equilibrium stress* of  $G(p)$  is a real valued function  $\omega$  on  $E(G)$  such that

$$\sum_{j:(i,j) \in E(G)} \omega_{ij}(p^i - p^j) = 0 \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, n. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\omega$  be an equilibrium stress of  $G(p)$ . Then the  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix  $S = (s_{ij})$  where

$$s_{ij} = \begin{cases} -\omega_{ij} & \text{if } (i, j) \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \text{ and } (i, j) \notin E(G), \\ \sum_{k:(i,k) \in E(G)} \omega_{ik} & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

is called the *stress matrix* associated with  $\omega$ , or a stress matrix of  $G(p)$ . The following result provides a sufficient condition for the universal rigidity of a given framework.

**Theorem 1.1 (Connelly [5, 6], Alfakih [1])** *Let  $G(p)$  be a bar framework in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , for some  $r \leq n - 2$ . If the following two conditions hold:*

1. There exists a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of  $G(p)$  of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ .
2. There does not exist a bar framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  that is affinely-equivalent, but not congruent, to  $G(p)$ .

Then  $G(p)$  is universally rigid.

Note that  $(n - r - 1)$  is the maximum possible value for the rank of the stress matrix  $S$ . In connection with Theorem 1.1, we mention the following result obtained in So and Ye [11] and Biswas et al. [4]: Given a framework  $G(p)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , if there does not exist a framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^s$  ( $s \neq r$ ) that is equivalent to  $G(p)$ , then  $G(p)$  is universally rigid. Moreover, if  $G(p)$  contains a clique of  $r + 1$  points in general position, then the existence of a rank- $(n - r - 1)$  positive semidefinite stress matrix implies that framework  $G(p)$  is universally rigid, regardless whether the other non-clique points are in general position or not.

Condition 2 of Theorem 1.1 is satisfied if configuration  $p$  is assumed to be generic (see Lemma 2.2 below). A configuration  $p$  (or a framework  $G(p)$ ) is said to be *generic* if all the coordinates of  $p^1, \dots, p^n$  are algebraically independent over the integers. That is, if there does not exist a non-zero polynomial  $f$  with integer coefficients such that  $f(p^1, \dots, p^n) = 0$ . Thus

**Theorem 1.2 (Connelly [6], Alfakih [2])** *Let  $G(p)$  be a generic bar framework on  $n$  nodes in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , for some  $r \leq n - 2$ . If there exists a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of  $G(p)$  of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ . Then  $G(p)$  is universally rigid.*

The converse of Theorem 1.2 is also true.

**Theorem 1.3 (Gortler and Thurston [10])** *Let  $G(p)$  be a generic bar framework on  $n$  nodes in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , for some  $r \leq n - 2$ . If  $G(p)$  is universally rigid, then there exists a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of  $G(p)$  of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ .*

Connelly [8] asked whether a result similar to Theorem 1.2 holds if the genericity assumption of  $G(p)$  is replaced by the weaker assumption of general position. A configuration  $p$  (or a framework  $G(p)$ ) in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is said to be in *general position* if no subset of the points  $p^1, \dots, p^n$  of cardinality  $r + 1$  is affinely dependent. For example, a set of points in the plane are in general position if no 3 of them lie on a straight line.

In this paper we answer Connelly's question in the affirmative. Thus the following theorem is the main result of this paper.

**Theorem 1.4** *Let  $G(p)$  be a bar framework on  $n$  nodes in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , for some  $r \leq n - 2$ . If there exists a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of  $G(p)$  of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ . Then  $G(p)$  is universally rigid.*

The proof of Theorem 1.4 will be given in Section 3. This paper and [3] are first steps toward the study of universal rigidity under the general position assumption. In [3], it was shown that the framework  $G(p)$  on  $n$  nodes in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  for some  $r \leq n - 2$ , where  $G$  is the  $(r + 1)$ -literation graph, admits a rank  $(n - r - 1)$  positive semi-definite stress matrix.

## 2 Preliminaries

To develop the ingredients needed for the proof of our main result, we review the necessary background on affine motions, stress matrices, and Gale matrices.

An affine motion in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  is a map  $f : \mathbb{R}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^r$  of the form

$$f(p^i) = Ap^i + b,$$

for all  $p^i$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ , where  $A$  is an  $r \times r$  matrix and  $b$  is an  $r$ -vector. A rigid motion is an affine motion where matrix  $A$  is orthogonal.

Vectors  $v^1, \dots, v^m$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  are said to lie on a *quadratic at infinity* if there exists a non-zero symmetric  $r \times r$  matrix  $\Phi$  such that

$$(v^i)^T \Phi v^i = 0, \text{ for all } i = 1, \dots, m. \quad (3)$$

**Lemma 2.1** (Connelly [7]) *Let  $G(p)$  be a bar framework on  $n$  vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

1. *There exists a framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  that is equivalent, but not congruent, to  $G(p)$  such that  $q^i = Ap^i + b$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, n$ ,*
2. *The vectors  $p^i - p^j$  for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$  lie on a quadratic at infinity.*

**Lemma 2.2** (Connelly [7]) *Let  $G(p)$  be a generic bar framework on  $n$  vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Assume that each node of  $G$  has degree at least  $r$ . Then the vectors  $p^i - p^j$  for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$  do not lie on a quadratic at infinity.*

Therefore, under the genericity assumption, Condition 2 in Lemma 2.1 does not hold. Consequently, Theorem 1.2 follows as a simple corollary of Theorem 1.1.

Note that Condition 2 in Lemma 2.1 is expressed in terms of the edges of  $G$ . An equivalent condition in terms of the missing edges of  $G$  can also be obtained using Gale matrices. This equivalent condition turns out to be crucial for our proof of Theorem 1.4.

To this end, let  $G(p)$  be a framework on  $n$  vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Then the following  $(r + 1) \times n$  matrix

$$\mathcal{A} := \begin{bmatrix} p^1 & p^2 & \dots & p^n \\ 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad (4)$$

has full row rank since  $p^1, \dots, p^n$  affinely span  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Note that  $r \leq n - 1$ . Let

$$\bar{r} = \text{the dimension of the null space of } \mathcal{A}; \text{ i.e., } \bar{r} = n - 1 - r. \quad (5)$$

**Definition 2.1** *Suppose that the null space of  $\mathcal{A}$  is nontrivial, i.e.,  $\bar{r} \geq 1$ . Any  $n \times \bar{r}$  matrix  $Z$  whose columns form a basis of the null space of  $\mathcal{A}$  is called a Gale matrix of configuration  $p$ . Furthermore, the  $i$ th row of  $Z$ , considered as a vector in  $\mathbb{R}^{\bar{r}}$ , is called a Gale transform of  $p^i$  [9].*

Let  $S$  be a stress matrix of  $G(p)$  then it follows from (2) and (4) that

$$AS = 0. \quad (6)$$

Thus

$$S = Z\Psi Z^T, \quad (7)$$

for some  $\bar{r} \times \bar{r}$  symmetric matrix  $\Psi$ , where  $Z$  is a Gale matrix of  $p$ . It immediately follows from (7) that  $\text{rank } S = \text{rank } \Psi$ . Thus,  $S$  attains its maximum rank of  $\bar{r} = (n - 1 - r)$  if and only if  $\Psi$  is nonsingular, i.e.,  $\text{rank } \Psi = \bar{r}$ .

Let  $e$  denote the vector of all 1's in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $V$  be an  $n \times (n - 1)$  matrix that satisfies:

$$V^T e = 0, \quad V^T V = I_{n-1}, \quad (8)$$

where  $I_{n-1}$  is the identity matrix of order  $(n - 1)$ . Further, let  $E^{ij}$ ,  $i \neq j$ , denote the  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix with 1 in the  $(i, j)$ th and  $(j, i)$ th entries and zeros elsewhere, and let  $\mathcal{E}(y) = \sum_{(i,j) \notin E(G)} y_{ij} E^{ij}$  where  $y_{ij} = y_{ji}$ . In other words, the  $(k, l)$  entry of matrix  $\mathcal{E}(y)$  is given by

$$\mathcal{E}(y)_{kl} = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } (k, l) \in E(G), \\ 0 & \text{if } k = l, \\ y_{kl} & \text{if } k \neq l \text{ and } (k, l) \notin E(G). \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

Then we have the following result.

**Lemma 2.3** *(Alfakih [2]) Let  $G(p)$  be a bar framework on  $n$  vertices in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  and let  $Z$  be any Gale matrix of  $p$ . Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

1. *The vectors  $p^i - p^j$  for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$  lie on a quadratic at infinity.*
2. *There exists a non-zero  $y = (y_{ij}) \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{m}}$  such that:*

$$V^T \mathcal{E}(y) Z = \mathbf{0}, \quad (10)$$

*where  $\bar{m}$  is the number of missing edges of  $G$ ,  $V$  is defined in (8), and  $\mathcal{E}(y)$  is defined in (9).  $\mathbf{0}$  here is the zero matrix of dimension  $(n - 1) \times \bar{r}$ .*

Condition 2 of Lemma 2.3 can be easily understood if a projected Gram matrix approach is used for the universal rigidity of bar frameworks (see [2] for details).

### 3 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The main idea of the proof is to show that Condition 2 of Lemma 2.3 does not hold under the general position assumption, and under the assumption that  $G(p)$  admits a positive semidefinite stress matrix of rank  $(n - r - 1)$ . The choice of the particular Gale matrix to be used in equation (10) is critical in this regard. We begin with a few necessary lemmas.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $G(p)$  be a framework on  $n$  nodes in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  and let  $Z$  be any Gale matrix of configuration  $p$ . Then any  $\bar{r} \times \bar{r}$  submatrix of  $Z$  is nonsingular.*

**Proof.** For a proof see e.g., [1]. □

Let  $\bar{N}(i)$  denote the set of nodes of graph  $G$  that are non-adjacent to node  $i$ ; i.e.,

$$\bar{N}(i) = \{j \in V(G) : j \neq i \text{ and } (i, j) \notin E(G)\}, \quad (11)$$

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $G(p)$  be a framework on  $n$  nodes in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Assume that  $G(p)$  has a stress matrix  $S$  of rank  $(n - 1 - r)$ . Then there exists a Gale matrix  $\hat{Z}$  of  $G(p)$  such that  $\hat{z}_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \bar{r}$  and  $i \in \bar{N}(j + r + 1)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $G(p)$  be in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  and assume that it has a stress matrix  $S$  of rank  $\bar{r} = (n - 1 - r)$ . Let  $Z$  be any Gale matrix of  $G(p)$ , then  $S = Z\Psi Z^T$  for some non-singular symmetric  $\bar{r} \times \bar{r}$  matrix  $\Psi$ . Let us write  $Z$  as:

$$Z = \begin{bmatrix} Z_1 \\ Z_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (12)$$

where  $Z_2$  is  $\bar{r} \times \bar{r}$ . By Lemma 3.1,  $Z_2$  is non-singular. Now let

$$\hat{Z} = (\hat{z}_{ij}) = Z\Psi Z_2^T. \quad (13)$$

Then  $\hat{Z}$  is a Gale matrix of  $G(p)$ . This simply follows from the fact that the matrix obtained by multiplying any Gale matrix of  $G(p)$  from the right by a non-singular  $\bar{r} \times \bar{r}$  matrix, is also a Gale matrix of  $G(p)$ . Furthermore,

$$S = Z\Psi Z^T = Z\Psi \begin{bmatrix} Z_1^T & Z_2^T \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} Z\Psi Z_1^T & \hat{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

In other words,  $\hat{Z}$  consists of the last  $\bar{r}$  columns of  $S$ . Thus  $\hat{z}_{ij} = s_{i, j+r+1}$ . By the definition of  $S$  we have  $s_{i, j+r+1} = 0$  for all  $i \neq j + r + 1$  and  $(i, j + r + 1) \notin E(G)$ . Therefore,  $\hat{z}_{ij} = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, \bar{r}$  and  $i \in \bar{N}(j + r + 1)$ . □

**Lemma 3.3** *Let the Gale matrix in (10) be  $\hat{Z}$  as defined in (13). Then the system of equations (10) is equivalent to the system of equations*

$$\mathcal{E}(y)\hat{Z} = \mathbf{0}. \quad (14)$$

$\mathbf{0}$  here is the zero matrix of dimension  $n \times \bar{r}$ .

**Proof.** System of equations (10) is equivalent to the following system of equations in the unknowns,  $y_{ij}$  ( $i \neq j$  and  $(i, j) \notin E(G)$ ) and  $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{\bar{r}}$ :

$$\mathcal{E}(y)\hat{Z} = e\xi^T, \quad (15)$$

Now for  $j = 1, \dots, \bar{r}$ , we have that the  $(j+r+1, j)$ th entry of  $\mathcal{E}(y)\hat{Z}$  is equal to  $\xi_j$ . But using (9) and Lemma 3.2 we have

$$(\mathcal{E}(y)\hat{Z})_{j+r+1, j} = \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{E}(y)_{j+r+1, i} \hat{z}_{ij} = \sum_{i \in \bar{N}(j+r+1)} y_{j+r+1, i} \hat{z}_{ij} = 0.$$

Thus,  $\xi = 0$  and the result follows. □

Now we are ready to prove our main theorem.

**Proof of Theorem 1.4**

Let  $G(p)$  be a framework on  $n$  nodes in general position in  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Assume that  $G(p)$  has a positive semidefinite stress matrix  $S$  of rank  $\bar{r} = n - 1 - r$ . Then  $\deg(i) \geq r + 1$  for all  $i \in V(G)$ , i.e., every node of  $G$  is adjacent to at least  $r + 1$  nodes (for a proof see [1, Theorem 3.2]). Thus

$$|\bar{N}(i)| \leq n - r - 2 = \bar{r} - 1. \quad (16)$$

Furthermore, it follows from Lemmas 3.2, 3.3 and 2.3 that the vectors  $p^i - p^j$  for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$  lie on a quadratic at infinity if and only if system of equations (14) has a non-zero solution  $y$ . But (14) can be written as

$$\sum_{j \in \bar{N}(i)} y_{ij} \hat{z}^j = 0, \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

where  $(\hat{z}^i)^T$  is the  $i$ th row of  $\hat{Z}$ . Now it follows from (16) that  $y_{ij} = 0$  for all  $(i, j) \notin E(G)$  since by Lemma 3.1 any subset of  $\{\hat{z}^1, \dots, \hat{z}^n\}$  of cardinality  $\leq \bar{r} - 1$  is linearly independent.

Thus system (14) does not have a nonzero solution  $y$ . Hence the vectors  $p^i - p^j$ , for all  $(i, j) \in E(G)$ , do not lie on a quadratic at infinity. Therefore, by Lemma 2.1, there does not exist a framework  $G(q)$  in  $\mathbb{R}^r$  that is affinely-equivalent, but not congruent, to  $G(p)$ . Thus by Theorem 1.1,  $G(p)$  is universally rigid. □

## References

- [1] A. Y. Alfakih. On dimensional rigidity of bar-and-joint frameworks. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 155:1244–1253, 2007.
- [2] A. Y. Alfakih. On the universal rigidity of generic bar frameworks. *Contrib. Disc. Math.*, 5:7–17, 2010.
- [3] A. Y. Alfakih, N. Taheri, and Y. Ye. Toward the universal rigidity of general frameworks, 2010. arXiv/1009.1185.
- [4] P Biswas, K-C Toh, and Y. Ye. A distributed SDP approach for large-scale noisy anchor-free graph realization with applications to molecular conformation. *SIAM J. Sci. Comp.*, pages 1251–1277, 2008.
- [5] R. Connelly. Rigidity and energy. *Invent. Math.*, 66:11–33, 1982.
- [6] R. Connelly. Tensegrity structures: Why are they stable? In M. F. Thorpe and P. M. Duxbury, editors, *Rigidity theory and applications*, pages 47–54. Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers, 1999.
- [7] R. Connelly. Generic global rigidity. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 33:549–563, 2005.
- [8] R. Connelly. Questions, conjectures and remarks on globally rigid tensegrities. Technical report, Cornell University, 2009.
- [9] D. Gale. Neighboring vertices on a convex polyhedron. In *Linear inequalities and related system*, pages 255–263. Princeton University Press, 1956.
- [10] S. J. Gortler and D. P. Thurston. Characterizing the universal rigidity of generic frameworks, 2009. arXiv/1001.0172v1.
- [11] A. M.-C. So and Y. Ye. Theory of Semidefinite Programming for Sensor Network Localization. *Proceedings of the 17th Annual ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithm (SODA 2005)* 2005, pp. 405–414; and *Mathematical Programming, Series B*, 109:367–384, 2007.