Overview and Findings of The Ohio Lake Erie **Phosphorus Task Force** Gail Hesse, Ohio EPA April 28, 2010

The Ohio Lake Erie Phosphorus Task Force

Convened by Ohio EPA

- Purpose is to review data in relationship to current conditions in Lake Erie
- Analyze the correlations in increases in soluble reactive phosphorus levels and corresponding increases in algal blooms
 Both trends began to appear in the mid-1990s

Ohio Phosphorus Task Force Members

Composition

- State program personnel from OEPA, ODNR and ODA
- Academia
- Agricultural agencies and organizations at the federal, state and local level
- USEPA-Great Lakes National Program Office
 USGS
- Wastewater Treatment Plant

Microcystis bloom August 2003





Lyngbya Wollei

Benthic mats become buoyant and float to surface (Maumee Bay State Park)



P Task Force Approach

- Identify all possible sources of DRP
- Quantify what we can with existing data sources
- Consult with topical experts
- Consult peer-reviewed publications
- Compare relative contributions from possible sources
- Develop recommendations

List of Possible Sources

Point sources

- POTWs, Industrial, CSOs, HSTS
- Agriculture
- Urban/residential
 - Lawn care fertilizers, storm water, orthophosphate in treated water, dishwasher detergent

Other

- In lake loads/recycling
- Streambank erosion
- Detroit River/upper lake loads
- Transport mechanisms
 - Subsurface drainage, surface runoff

Findings

- Point sources have remained relatively consistent
- Lawn care can have localized impact
- Mussels have altered P cycling
 - Extent unknown
 - Processing external sources
- Transport mechanisms surface and subsurface drainage
 - Relative contribution unknown

Sub-Surface Drainage



Source: 1992 NRI; 1992 Census of Agriculture

Findings

Soil nutrient interactions are key to understanding nutrient movement Soil P naturally fluctuates between dissolved and solid forms Soil mineralogy influences solubility Other factors, including nitrogen, may be affecting algal blooms

Findings

DRP loadings are driven by runoff events
 Weather trend changes: higher intensity storms, less snowfall, high winter runoff events

Multiple contributors; agriculture is key
 Need to look at how we manage our P inputs

Phosphorus Inputs Agriculture

Biosolids
Animal manure
Commercial fertilizer





Trends in Agriculture

- Overall, nutrient inputs are down - Biosolids, animal manure, commercial fertilizer Larger farms, larger fields and larger equipment Larger equipment has multiple functions, more year-round operations - Larger, heavier equipment may be leading to soil compaction
- More year-round operations

Trends in Agriculture, cont.

- More fall preparation of seed beds, more fall and winter application
- Changing methods: more broadcast application without incorporation
- Unknown and uncertain use of soil tests and adherence with recommendations
- Changes in soil quality
- Changes in drainage



Recommendations

Amount
Timing
Incorporation
Management of field runoff

No single practice will result in lower nutrient runoff

Recommendations

Ensure consistent, reliable soil tests and increase the frequency of testing

- Update screening tools that account for agronomic need and environmental risk
- Link soil test results to fertilizer recommendations

 Link recommendations to applications
 Link nutrient management practices to highly variable conditions

Recommendations

- Push for "Priority Practices" for nutrient management
- Use innovative approaches to sell these practices
- Pursue the Research Agenda: field to stream to nearshore to in-lake
- Review new information, monitor progress, course correct as necessary

Ongoing Efforts

Research projects currently funded by the Ohio Lake Erie Commission and USEPA and other projects of the Millennium Network
 NRCS work group evaluating the P Index

Lakewide Management Plan (LaMP)

Proposing ecological endpoints (nutrient concentrations)

www.epa.state.oh.us/dsw

Click: Phosphorus Task Force